

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of	f: Bohm et al.				
Serial No.:	09/905,786	Group No:	2614		
Filed:	07/13/2001	Examiner:	T. Tran		
For:	For: SYNCHRONIZATION PULSE DETECTION CIRCUIT				
Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231					
AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL					
1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.					
STATUS					
2. A	Applicant is				
_	a small entity - verified statement:				
	attached.				
	already filed.				
_	x other than a small entity.				
•	CERTIFICATE	OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8(a))			
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United State Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 2021					

Emily C. Porell (Type or print name of person mailing letter)

(Signature of person mailing paper)

Page 1 of 4

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments)--If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 CFR 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings and 37 CFR 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

- 3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 CFR
- 1.136 apply

(complete (a) or (b) as applicable)

(a) _ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 (fees: 37 CFR 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number of months checked below:

Exter (mon		Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
_	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
	two months	\$ 390.00	\$195.00
_	three months	\$ 890.00	\$445.00
	four months	\$1,390.00	\$695.00
	fifth month	\$1,890.00	\$945.00

Fee \$

If an additional extension of time is required please consider this a petition therefor. (check and complete the next item, if applicable)

_		months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor of				
	\$ is deducted f	rom the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.				

Extension fee due with this request \$\frac{110.00}{}

OR

(b) X Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 CFR 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL	ENTITY		OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
	CLAIMS REMAIN AFTER AMEND	NING	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL		MINUS		=	x 9= \$		x18=	\$	
INDEP.		MINUS		<u>-</u>	x40= \$		x80=	\$	
,		RESENTA LE DEP. C			+135=\$		+\$270=	\$	
					TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$		OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	\$
		If the "H If the "H The "Hig appropris	ry in Col. 1 is less thighest No. Previously ighest No. Previously thest No. Previously ate box in Col. 1 of a	Paid For" IN THIS Paid For" IN THIS Paid For" (Total or In prior amendment or	SPACE is less SPACE is less ndep.) is the hig the number of	than 20, en than 3, ente ghest numb claims origi	er "3". er found in inally filed.	•	
WARNII	WARNING: "After final rejection or action (1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any required form which has been made." 37 CFR 1.116(a) (emphasis added).				with any requirement of				
			((complete (c) or	(d) as applic	able)			
(c)	<u>x</u>	No additional fee for claims is required.							
	2 0			c)R				
(d)		Total additional fee for claims required \$							
FEE PAYMENT									
5.	_	Attach	ed is a check in t	he sum of \$.				
		Charge	Account No	1	the sum of \$		- •		

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. X If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 19-0079

AND/OR

X If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 19-0079

Reg. No.: 33,298

Ì

Tel. No.: (617) 426-9180

Extension: 112

Matthew E. Connors

Type or print name of attorney

Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens

225 Franklin Street, Suite 3300

P.O. Address

Boston, Massachusetts 02110





GP 2614

U.S. Ser. No. 09/905,786 Our File: APD1529

> # 1 W.Lawoon 1/30/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

BOHM et al

GROUP: 2614

SERIAL NO:

09/905,786

EXAMINER: T.U. TRAN

FILED:

July 13, 2001

FOR:

SYNCHRONIZATION PULSE DETECTION CIRCUIT

Box Non-Fee Amendment Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 RECEIVED

JAN 2 9 2003

Sir:

Technology Center 2600

RESPONSE

In response to the Office Action mailed December 3, 2002, please amend the aboveidentified application as follows:

REMARKS

Claims 17-28 are rejected under 35 USC §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The Examiner states in the Office Action that the recited term "absolute value independent" is not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one